



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Richard James Gilbert		
09/992,440	11/16/2001		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
			CQ10069	6119
•	590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 401 Castro Street, Ste 220 Mountain View, CA 94041-2007			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	<u> </u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/992,440	GILBERT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ardin Marschel	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the magazined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	on	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	auth nom consideration.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	nor	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccented or h) objected to	but the Course
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ocepted of b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner Note the attached	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No
 Copies of the certified copies of the price 	ority documents have been i	received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not r	received.
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Si	immany (PTO-412)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Su Paper No(s).	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of generating a database of molecular fragment data, classified in class 707, subclass 101. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- II. Claims 18-20 and 23-27, drawn to a method of determining a relationship between the presence of a number of molecular fragments in a number of molecular structures and a biological target characteristic of the molecular structure, classified in class 702, subclass 27. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- III. Claims 21, 22, and 28-31; drawn to a computer implemented method of generating predicted biological target characteristic data for a target molecule, classified in class 702, subclass 19.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT FOR GROUPS | OR II:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie A: method embodiments which do not utilize graph theory to compare molecular fragment data

Specie B: method embodiments which do utilize graph theory to compare molecular fragment data

Graph theory is a specialized subject matter area which substitutes graphical representations and techniques for computational algorithms and is generally separately

Art Unit: 1631

published from computational algorithm methods and thus documents the separate and undue search burden if they are searched together.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-9 and 12-16 (Group I) and claims 18-20, 23, 24, 26, and 27 (Group II) are generic to Specie A and B in their respective Groups.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1631

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of reasons set forth below and regarding specie distinctness as summarized in the above specie election explanation:

The inventions of Groups I, II, and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the different modes of operation, functions, and effects have been summarized above within each of the Group Descriptions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61

Art Unit: 1631

(November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571)272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571)272-0549.

June 25, 2004

Arden H. Whrydd 6/25/64